

Interactive Multi-Resolution Modeling with **Sculptor**

Stefan BirmannsSchool of Health Information Sciences & Institute of Molecular MedicineUniversity of Texas – Houston

Overview

- Sculptor?
- Visualize Volumetric EM Data
- Visualize High-Resolution Structures
- Multi-Resolution Docking

- \bullet Interactive multi-resolution modeling
	- Application-driven development:
		- Visualization of volumetric experimental data
		- Visualization of high-resolution structures
		- Interactive and algorithmic docking techniques
- \bullet But the focus is not:
	- $-$ To develop the best volume renderer
	- $-$ To develop the best molecule renderer

- • Sculptor:
	- $-$ Qt $^\text{1}$ GUI library –
	- $-$ OpenGL 2 3D graphics library –
	- –SVT - VR and visualization toolkit
	- –Multi-platform (Unix, Windows)

1) http://www.opengl.org 2) http://www.trolltech.com

Context-specific menu

Structure Visualization

High-Quality Rendering

Volumetric Data

- Situs file-format
	- –To convert from/to Situs use "map2map" (Situs)

Volumetric Data

- Volume Rendering Techniques:
	- Isosurfaces
		- Conversion to triangle mesh, efficient to render
		- Single parameter, threshold value to define surface
		- EM maps often feature varying resolution and density
			- No precise, hard surface, single threshold difficult
	- Direct Volume Rendering
		- Direct rendering of voxel data
		- Soft surfaces, true transparency, intensity segmentation
		- Complex transfer-function design
		- Real-time rendering challenging

Direct Volume Rendering

• Direct rendering of scalar field

• 3D textures sampled by 2D viewer aligned slices approximate rendering integral

Direct Volume Rendering

Surface Rendering

- • Large macromolecular assemblies
	- Time-consuming to render
	- Visual and haptic rendering compete for CPU time
- \bullet Haptic rendering real-time critical
	- Visual dominates haptic rendering
- \bullet Load balancing based on mesh simplification
	- Remove detail when force update rate is not sufficient

- \bullet Important properties of MS algorithms
	- Quality of the approx. meshes
	- \equiv Efficiency of the algorithm

- Simplification techniques
	- Vertex Clustering
	- Vertex Decimation
	- Edge Contraction
- \bullet Error metrices

 \bullet Edge Contraction with the Quadric Error Metric

- • Quadric Error Metric
	- – Quadratic distance to all corresponding planes of the original mesh

$$
Q(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i^t v + d_i)^2 = v^t Q v
$$

- –Corresponding planes are these from which the vertex results
- Fast because of addition theorem

$$
Q(v) = Q_i(v) + Q_j(v) = v^t (Q_i + Q_j)v
$$

– New vertex is the result of

 $grad(Q(v)) = 0$

 Special cases \bullet – open boundaries triangle identity (a) (b) – triangle twist–

- \bullet Simplification is reversible
	- –Inverse operation of an edge contraction (a) is a vertex split (b)

– Save progressive mesh simplification for later refinement

$$
M_n \overset{\psi_n}{\underset{\psi_n}{\rightleftharpoons}} M_{n-1} \overset{\psi_{n-1}}{\underset{\psi_{n-1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \cdots \overset{\psi_2}{\underset{\psi_2}{\rightleftharpoons}} M_1 \overset{\psi_1}{\underset{\psi_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} M_0
$$

$$
\psi_k(M_k) = M_{k-1} \qquad \psi_k^{-1}(M_{k-1}) = M_k \qquad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}
$$

$$
(\psi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \psi_n)(M_n) = M_0 \qquad (\psi_n^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_1^{-1})(M_0) = M_n
$$

- \bullet Adaptable level of detail
	- – Adjust the simplification level according to the desired force update rate or frame rate

- • Algorithm overview
	- –Compute quadric Q_i for every vertex of the original mesh
	- – Compute cost (quadric error) and optimal contraction vertex for every edge contraction by minimizing $v^t(Q_i + Q_j)v$
	- Sort possible contractions according to cost
	- Perform contraction with lowest cost and recompute cost of varied edges and resort them into the cost sorted edge contraction list
	- Save the progressive simplification
	- Adapt the level of detail corresponding to constraint (FUS, FPS)

Multi-Resolution Modeling

- Interactive docking:
	- Visual docking
		- Manual docking by eye
	- Haptic Rendering
		- Manual docking augmented by force feedback
		- Reduced docking criterion
	- Algorithmic Docking
		- Pattern-recognition technique based on feature points

Transformation

Software "Dials"

噐

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Multi-Resolution Docking

- \bullet Docking = transformation of structure into density map
- \bullet Management of transformations:

Sculptor Sculptor

Haptic Rendering

- Interactive docking augmented by haptic rendering
	- $-$ Guide the user by force-feedback through the 6D $\,$ search space
	- Cross-correlation as basis for force and torque calculation
	- Combined with advanced virtual reality techniques
		- 3D stereoscopic and tracked visual rendering

Cross-Correlation

• Cross-correlation coefficient between the two objects is a popular docking criterion:

$$
C(R,T) \propto \int \rho_{calc}(\mathbf{r}, R, T) \cdot \rho_{em}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r}
$$

•Not time efficient enough for haptic rendering

Feature-Based Shape Description

- • Vector Quantization
	- Popular method in signal processing
	- Replace complex function by compact number of feature vectors
	- Topology Representing Networks (Martinez, Schulten)
- •Applied to high-resolution structure to reduce complexity of fitting problem:

Feature-Based Shape Description

Haptic Rendering

• Correlation-based docking:

$$
C(R,T) \propto \int \rho_{calc}(\mathbf{r}, R, T) \cdot \rho_{em}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r}
$$

•Feature points:

$$
\rho_{calc}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{w_i})
$$

• Reduced docking criterion:

$$
C(R,T) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_{em}(\mathbf{w_i}(R,T))
$$

Haptic Rendering

- Correlation-based refinement:
	- Force used in gradient descent refinement technique
	- $-$ Highlight $\sqrt{\varpi}$ umetric data in document list
	- Click on to activate as target map
	- Highlight structure data
		- $\overline{\text{on}}$ to activate as probe molecule
		- Probe will follow force vector into next local correlation maximum

Laplace Quantization

 \bullet Laplacian filter applied to low-resolution cryo-EM maps

$$
L: \rho(x, y, z) \to \nabla^2 \rho(x, y, z) = \rho^L(x, y, z)
$$

Laplace Quantization

• Vector Quantization demands remapping:

$$
\rho_{\mathbf{c}}^{L}(x, y, z) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\rho_{\mathbf{c}}^{L}(x, y, z)) \in [0, 1]
$$

 \bullet Leads to separate codebooks for contour and interior

$$
C^{L}(R,T) = \int \rho_{c}^{L}(R,T) \cdot \rho_{EM}^{L} d^{3}r
$$

=
$$
\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \rho_{EM}^{L}(w_{i}^{C}(R,T))}_{\text{contour-match}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \rho_{EM}^{L}(w_{i}^{I}(R,T))}_{\text{interior-match}}
$$

Multi-Resolution Fitting

- • Determine feature points in 3D structural and volumetric data
	- $-$ Point-cloud similarity alternative docking criterion

Point-based Shape Recognition

 \bullet Feature-based shape description transforms MR-docking into point-cloud matching problem:

$$
rmsd(I, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\| (\mathbf{R} \mathbf{w}_{j}^{calc} + \mathbf{T}) - \mathbf{w}_{I(j)}^{em} \right\|^{2}}
$$

- •NP-Hard
- Methods developed in other research areas
	- Structure alignment
	- Pattern matching
	- Computer vision

Anchor-Point Matching

- • Anchor-point refinement matching:
	- Three pairs of anchor points give an initial (rough) transformation

Iterative refinement of initial transformation

Search Tree

 \bullet Refinement leads to search tree:

- \bullet Exploit sparse distribution of feature vectors
	- Compact tree, typical runtime < 1min

Installation Instructions

- Download:
	- <u>http://sculptor.biomachina.org</u>
- Windows:
	- setup.exe standard installer
- Linux:
	- $-$ RPM package for Fedora Core Linux:
		- rpm -i qwt-xxxx.rpm
		- rpm -i sculptor-xxxx.rpm
	- Compile your own package for other distributions:
		- rpmbuild -ba sculptor.spec

